Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/816,839	FUNG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>F. Pierre VanderVegt</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Cheryl Liljestrand</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>2/10</u> 05	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 19 20 28-30 32-37		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
62. De Valle		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to the Examiner's amendment and to the rejoinder of the diagnostic method of Group III. Applicant elected to cancel the claims of Group II without prejudice or disclaimer in the interest of pursuing the subject matter of these claims in a subsequent application..